

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	•		•	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/428,647	10/28/1999	ROLAND SCHULE	SCH-1700	4945
23599 75	23599 7590 08/13/2002			
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			EXAMINER	
2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			MURPHY, JOSEPH F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	:		1646	
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2002 13	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/428,647	SCHULE ET AL.
•	Examin r	Art Unit
	Joseph F Murphy	1646
The MAILING DATE f this c mmunication appe	ars on the cover she t with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 18 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the condition of the con	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 18 June 2002. Appear 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	sėe NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) 🛛 they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>1-9</u> would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a sepa	rate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 and 13-15</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 10-12.		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	.
10. Other:		Dame Rome
\mathcal{N}		DAVID S. ROMEO PRIMARY EXAMINER
Patent and Trademark Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Proposed claim 16 sets forth the new limitation wherein the SLIM-3 has a modification in which 1-10 amino acids are deleted while retaining the activity of regulating transcriptional activity.

Continuation of 5. The proposed amendments would not overcome the rejection of claims 13-15 under 35 USC 112 first and second paragraph because the claims do not set forth the function which the biologically active SLIM-3 polypeptides must possess.